Worksheet 6. Application Summary

| 1. Name of Applicant: | Indiana D | Indiana Department of Natural Resources-Forestry-Nursery Program | | | | | | |
|---|----------------|--|--------|-------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2. Location: | Vallonia a | Vallonia and Medaryville IN | | | | | | |
| 3. Crop: | Tree and | Tree and shrub seedlings | | | | | | |
| 4. Pounds of Methyl Bromide Requested 2005_ | | 2005 | 21,000 | | | | | |
| 5. Area Treated with Methyl Bromide | | 2005 | 60 | Acres units | | | | |
| 6. If methyl bromide is requested for additional years, reason for request: | | | | | | | | |
| Crops are fumigated annually. No clear alternatives. | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | |
| 2006 21,00 | <u>00</u> lbs. | Area Treated 60 | | Acres units | | | | |
| 2007 21.00 | 00 lbs | Area Treated 60 | | Acres units | | | | |

Place an "X" in the column(s) labeled "Not Technically Feasible" and/or "Not Economically Feasible" where appropriate. Use the "Reasons" column to describe why the potential alternative is not feasible.

| Potential Alternatives | Not Technically Feasible | Not Economically Feasible | Reasons | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|--|--|
| Basamid | Х | x | Proven human and environmental risks, lack of consistently demonstrable effectiveness, loss in crop quantity and qualtiy. Long term hegative impact on forest sustainability and completion of conservation practices under the new Farm Bill. | |
| Metam-Sodium | Х | Х | Proven human and environmental risks, lack of consistently demonstrable effectiveness, loss in crop quantity and qualtiy. Long term hegative impact on forest sustainability and completion of conservation practices under the new Farm Bill. | |
| Solarization | Х | | Did not effectively control Fusarium spp., Cylindrocladium spp., or Rhizoctonia spp. pathogen populations. | |
| crop rotation /fallowing | X | | Currently used in consortium. No effective control of soill-born fungal diseases or weeds. | |
| General IPM | X | | Currently used in consortium. No effective control of soill-born fungal diseases or weeds. | |
| Telone | | Х | Proven human and environmental risks, lack of consistently demonstrable effectiveness, loss in crop quantity and quantity, long term negative impact on forest sustainability and completion of conservatin practices under the new Farm Bill. | |
| Tillam | | Х | Not labeled for nursery crop application | |
| Physical removal/sanitation | Х | Х | Currently used in consortium. No effective control of soil-born fungal diseases or weeds. Not economical due to cost of labor. | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | |